

Unknown

From: (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2011 3:53 PM
To: (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)
Subject: FW: Briefing paper for Director Morton

(b)(6)... here is the response from Boston as to what steps they took with the Boston Police, and others. Would you like me to synopsize/redact and insert into the paper, or do you prefer to handle it? Just let me know.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

Immigration & Customs Enforcement (ICE)
Secure Communities Regional Coordinator
202-595- (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

From: (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2011 3:00 PM
To: (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)
Cc: (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)
Subject: RE: Briefing paper for Director Morton

(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

Sorry for the delay had to wait for the information from today's meeting.

(b)(6) (...)

From: (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2011 2:23 PM
To: (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)
Subject: FW: Briefing paper for Director Morton

Looks good –

Please copy FOD, DFOD, and me with anything you send

From: (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2011 1:40 PM
To: (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)
Subject: RE: Briefing paper for Director Morton

(b)(6) (...)

We also had a meeting with the Boston Police Department and local ACLU, MIRA, AILA, Catholic Charities, Progressive Latino and other group representing alien interests. This meeting was given the go ahead by SC at the HQ level. The subjects covered were the number of aliens encountered by SC and the level I, II, III. The outcome was we will continue to operate and we will continue with the screening of foreign nationals who are arrested and fingerprinted. The major concern was/is ICE taking every alien the police encounter into custody, and what the difference is between levels I, II III. The results of the meeting were as expected, we agree to disagree. We did go over the statistics and the problem of at the time of arrest an alien is considered a “criminal”, but that when it is

12/10/2011

time for removal they are not a criminal unless they have been convicted. The local ACLU had issue because the ICE FOIA charts reflect that more “non-criminals” than criminals are being removed by ICE as a result of SC. We explained that ICE uses two different uses of the word “criminal” on the chart; one for arrest and one for removal purposes. Level is defined by arrest or most egregious conviction at time of arrest, but when it comes to removal data the Level is classified by convictions not arrests. The indication that non-criminals are being removed was their concern. WE explained that regardless of the term being used by ICE for removals, all of the aliens had been arrested for either Level 1, 2, or 3 offenses.

There was a similar outreach held in Boston on January 20, 2011, hosted by (b)(6) (b)(7)(c), Senior Public Engagement Officer, OSLTC. The roundtable was set up and headed by OSLTC and the discussion involved much of the same participants mentioned above, to also include (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (OCC Boston), (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (PAO), (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (HQ), HSI SAC Foucart, and representing ERO was AFOD (b)(6) (b)(7)(c), AFOD (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) and AFOD (b)(6) (b)(7)(c). The roundtable discussion went much the same as above - mainly an education of SC.

FOD Chadbourne, DFOD (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) and AFOD (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) met with MA Executive Office of Public Safety Undersecretary (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) today, January 26, 2011, in Boston. The same topics as above were discussed. EOPSS is concerned about the advocacy groups and immigrant/alien communities view on SC. They informed the FOD that the Governor’s Office had erroneously indicated in December that MA was going to sign the SC MOA, when in fact they are still discussing the issue both internally and externally. EOPSS’ concerns echoed those of the advocacy groups, with their primary worry being what “political” backlash may come from signing off on SC. There was no decision made today that MA would sign off on SC.

From: (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2011 9:47 AM
To: (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)
Cc: (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)
Subject: Briefing paper for Director Morton

PRE-DECISIONAL DELIBERATIVE MESSAGE AND MATERIALS.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(c) good morning.

Please see the attached draft briefing paper. Insofar as it is not final, please maintain it on a ‘close hold’ basis within your chain of command. In preparation for a meeting between Director Morton and State Senator Moore (who is also president of the National Conference of State Legislators), we are trying to obtain additional information relating to the meeting held between ICE BOS FOD and SAC, and the Boston PD Commissioner last August—specifically,

“the Commissioner is actually supportive of SC, but need ‘ammunition’ to combat potential negative press.”

Can you advise me of whether or not there has been any further dialogue since the referenced meeting, or whether the Commissioner or his staff have requested or received any statistics or additional information that might help provide him with the kind of assistance he was seeking to offset negative / inaccurate media articles and assertions?

A rapid response is requested in order to provide Director Morton the information requested.

Many thanks in advance,

(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

12/10/2011

Immigration & Customs Enforcement (ICE)
Secure Communities Regional Coordinator
202-595- [REDACTED]

(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

12/10/2011